

TERRANCE LORENZO MOSLEY,
#206 394,

Plaintiff,

v.

EDWARD ELLINGTON, *et al.*,

Defendants.

EDWARD ELLINGTON, *et al.*,
Defendants.

¹Upon initiation of this civil action, Plaintiff filed an application for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Doc. 2. However, under the circumstances of this case, the court finds that a ruling on such application, including assessment and collection of any filing fee, should be undertaken by the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama.

jurisdiction with respect to such action.” 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). The law further provides that “[f]or the convenience of parties and witnesses, in the interest of justice, a district court may transfer any civil action to any other district . . . where it might have been brought[.]” 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a).

The St. Clair Correctional Facility is within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama. The action about which Plaintiff complains occurred at a prison in the Northern District of Alabama. It also appears that most material witnesses and evidence associated with Plaintiff’s allegations are in the Northern District of Alabama.

In light of the foregoing, and in accordance with applicable federal law, the court concludes that in the interest of justice this case should be transferred to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama for review and disposition.²

III. CONCLUSION

Accordingly, it is the RECOMMENDATION of the Magistrate Judge this case be TRANSFERRED to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a).

It is ORDERED that **on or before April 6, 2020**, Plaintiff may file an objection to the Recommendation. Plaintiff is advised he must specifically identify the factual findings and legal conclusions contained in the Recommendation to which objection is made. Frivolous, conclusive, or general objections will not be considered by the court. Plaintiff is advised that this Recommendation is not a final order and, therefore, it is not appealable.

Failure to file written objections to the proposed factual findings and legal conclusions in the Recommendations of the Magistrate Judge shall bar a party from a *de novo* determination by the District Court of these factual findings and legal conclusions and shall “waive the right to challenge on appeal the District Court’s order based on unobjected-to factual and legal

²In transferring this case, the court makes no determination with respect to the merits of the claims presented in the complaint.

conclusions” except upon grounds of plain error if necessary in the interests of justice. 11TH Cir. R. 3-1; *see Resolution Trust Co. v. Hallmark Builders, Inc.*, 996 F.2d 1144, 1149 (11th Cir. 1993) (“When the magistrate provides such notice and a party still fails to object to the findings of fact [and law] and those findings are adopted by the district court the party may not challenge them on appeal in the absence of plain error or manifest injustice.”); *Henley v. Johnson*, 885 F.2d 790, 794 (11th Cir. 1989).

Done, on this the 20th day of March, 2020.

/s/ Susan Russ Walker
Susan Russ Walker
United States Magistrate Judge